

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

1 97

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED	INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/978,235	11/25/97	MASS		N	1874-4014
_		 IM22/0414			EXAMINER
MORGAN & FINNEGAN		11.1977 / 11.41.44		JUSKA,0	3
345 PARK AV				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK NY	10194			1771	15
				DATE MAILED.	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

04/14/00

Advisory Action

Application No. 08/978,235

Applicanties

Mass et al.

Examiner

Cheryl Juska

Group Art Unit 1771



		NOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
	a) 💢	expires4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	b) 🗌	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	date on determi calcular	ension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of ning the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be ted from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
	Appel period	ant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Ap bu	plican t is NC	t's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Mar 27, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, DT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
X	The p	roposed amendment(s):
	X w	ill be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
	□ w	Il not be entered because:
		they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NO	TE:
		oplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
	_	e objections to the specification and the 112 rejections, as set forth in the last Office Action, sections 3-6.
		y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
X	The a for al the a	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a
	The a for al the a calcu	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Iffidavit; exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition lowance because: If you would be allowable if submitted in a rate, timely filed amendment and would be allowable if submitted in a rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
	The a for al the a calculathe a Example	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Iffidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition lowance because: If it is a requirement of the consideration of the considered but does not place the application in condition in condition lowance because: If it is a requirement of the consideration of the co
	The a for al the a calculathe Exam	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Iffidavit; exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition lowance because: In the street of the cited Lieber invention can meet Applicant's claim limitations of actual and lated ribbon lengths upon contraction (or reduced tension) of the Lieber netting. In the street of the considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the liner in the final rejection.
	The a for al the a calcument of the academy of th	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Inflidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition lowance because: Inflidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition lowance because: Inflidavit are unpersuasive in that the cited Lieber invention can meet Applicant's claim limitations of actual and leated ribbon lengths upon contraction (or reduced tension) of the Lieber netting. Inflidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the liner in the final rejection. Introduced to see attached written explanation, if any): Installowed: Insta
	The a for al the a calcument of the academy of th	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Iffidavit; exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition lowance because: In that the cited Lieber invention can meet Applicant's claim limitations of actual and lated ribbon lengths upon contraction (or reduced tension) of the Lieber netting. In the final rejection. In the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): In the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): In the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
	The a calculation of the calculation of the a calculation of the a calculation of the a calculation of the c	proposed or amended claims
	The a calculation of the calculation of the a calculation of the a calculation of the a calculation of the c	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Iffidavit; exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition lowance because: In that the cited Lieber invention can meet Applicant's claim limitations of actual and lated ribbon lengths upon contraction (or reduced tension) of the Lieber netting. In the fidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the liner in the final rejection. In the final rejection. In the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): In the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): In the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): In the status of the claims is as follows (see attached invention).